

Environmental data gathering technologies for decommissioning Net Environmental Benefit Analysis (NEBA)

Peter Oliver Principal Environmental Scientist

London Convention Science Day 15 April 2021

Comparative Assessment and Net Environmental Benefit Analysis (NEBA)

- NEBA = objectivity, transparency and scientific approach comparing decommissioning alternatives
- NEBA alternative comparison based on ecosystem service value & balanced against other benefits and risks
- Communicates environmental and socio-economic changes associated with alternatives in clear, meaningful units





Level of Data Acquisition Required for NEBA

| DATA LEVEL | LOW | MEDIUM | HIGH |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Decommissioning Alternatives | Simple alternatives | Some complexity to alternatives | Complex range of alternatives |
| Use of Outputs | Internal assessment of alternatives | Internal use and some external visibility | High level of external interest/scrutiny |
| Level of detail | Qualitative | Semi-quantitative | Quantitative |
| Data Acquisition methods | Professional judgement | Data mining from existing ROV footage | Targeted campaigns using specialist equipment and expertise |



Level of Data Acquisition Required for NEBA

| DATA LEVEL | LOW | MEDIUM | HIGH |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Decommissioning Alternatives | Simple alternatives | Some complexity to alternatives | Complex range of alternatives |
| Use of Outputs | Internal assessment of alternatives | Internal use and some external visibility | High level of external interest/scrutiny |
| Level of detail | Qualitative | Semi-quantitative | Quantitative |
| Data Acquisition methods | Professional judgement | Data mining from existing ROV footage | Targeted campaigns using specialist equipment and expertise |



Methods for Assessing Offshore Environmental Values

| Methods | | Limitations |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Jaws Strike pads | Seabed sampling (grabs, cores, trawls etc.) | Distance from structures being assessed Seabed not representative of water column / structure-related species Limited numbers of point samples |
| | Diver surveys | Safety risk Diving skills and taxonomic expertise required Depth limitations Time consuming, expensive |
| | Maintenance ROV footage | Large volume of data available Video often low resolution, identification difficult Difficult to quantify size and area sampled Large, work-class ROVs can affect fish behaviour |
| | Mini-ROV with single camera | High quality video Limited effect on fish behaviour Difficult to quantify size and area sampled |



New Fish Survey Method: Mini-ROV with Stereo-Video



- Stereo-video measures XYZ coordinates:
 - Fish length (<u>+</u>1% accuracy)
 - Area/volume sampled
- High-def video allows species identification
- Mini-ROV = Limited effects on fish behaviour
- Can be used as a consistent method for platforms, pipelines, natural habitats
- Can retrofit stereo-cameras to existing ROVs



Collaboration between Chevron (Dr Michael Marnane) and Curtin University, Perth



Volume of Sample Unit





Stereo ROV Sampling Method



Note: controls were also collected. This involved flying the ROV in an identical pattern to the platform sampling at sites distant from all infrastructure



Example outputs of Stereo-Video Fish Surveys: detailed community assessments





Example outputs of Stereo-Video Fish Survey: Standing biomass and value



Average for jacket =



Rapid Biodiversity Assessments Methods: Environmental DNA (eDNA)

- eDNA detects organisms based on presence of DNA fragments in environment
- DNA detected in samples is then compared to a growing library of DNA sequences to determine taxa
- Whole-community eDNA assessments made possible due to recent advances in sequencing technology

Collaboration between Chevron (Dr Michael Marnane, Sarin Chaiyakul, Paweena Sitaworawet) and Curtin University, Perth





11



Example eDNA Survey Approach for Platform Jackets

Environmental DNA metabarcoding studies are NE PEDESTAL) 21.615 m () critically affected by substrate selection Adam Koziol, Michael Stat, Tiffany Simpson, Simon Jarman, Joseph DiBattista, Euan Harvey, Michael Marnane, Justin McDonald, Michael Bunce. Molecular Ecology Resources 2019 0-5m EL(-)11.582m 30m 50m **Bottom** (60-70m) ★ Biofoul Water Sediment

x 2 sides of Jacket



Example eDNA Sampling Approach for Offshore Structures

Biofoul:

- Scraper on ROV manipulator arm
- Collection funnels allow multiple samples per 'dive' to increase efficiency
- For shallow platforms: mini-ROVs were used, with small, fixed collection devices





Example eDNA Outputs using 18S Assay: Sediment Biodiversity for Jackets versus Control Sites





Comparison Between Jackets and Control Sites: Biodiversity Index of Taxa Present in Sediment + Biofoul







eDNA can also Detect Species of Interest or Concern

- Targeted PCR assays can provide greater resolution within a Phylum
- Can detect rare or endangered species
- Can detect invasive species



Alpheidae – Banded Shrimp



Arminidae - Nudibranch



Pomacentridae - Damselfish



Niphatidae - Demosponge



3D Photogrammetry: Marine Growth Volume, Roughness & Cover

- Uses multiple positions of video or still photos of same area to build up a 3D model
- Movement between images generates 3-E point clouds
- Reference photos stitched onto point clour to provide 3-D photomosaic
- Can be used to provide:
 - ➢ % cover
 - Surface roughness (indicator of habita quality)
 - ➢ Volume
 - Weight (if validated with scraping of biofoul)

Collaboration between Chevron (Sarin Chaiyakul, Paweena Sitaworawet, Peter Oliver) & Scottish Association of Marine Science & Tritonia Scientific Ltd.





3D Photogrammetry: Raw footage versus modelled comparison



Raw camera footage

Geometric mesh

High resolution tiled model



3D Photogrammetry: jacket leg example





3D Photogrammetry: Biovolume and Weight Calculation

- Digital removal of the volume of the platform leg (using schematics) generates estimates of:
 - biofoul volumeweight (using calibration factor)
- Can monitor changes in biofoul communities due to towing or monitor recovery after reefing
- Can address engineering questions: weight/heavy lift vessel requirements





Biovolume





Summary: New Technologies have Enhanced Data Quality for NEBA and Comparative Assessments

- Faster and cheaper
- Improved resolution
- Improved estimates of size and area
- Improved detection power
- Quantitative instead of qualitative
- Sets the foundation to move beyond ecological metrics and into socioeconomic value (e.g. fisheries)





Data Gaps and Future Research Needs

- Scaling fish biomass to socioeconomic value
 - Rigorous process required to estimate value in context of fisheries
- Impacts to biological communities when structures are moved
 - · How much biofoul is lost during decommissioning
 - What proportion of fish communities follow structures to new location?
 - How long does it take for recovery and to what end state?
- Other ecosystem service values
 - How do assets enhance connectivity among populations?
 - How do assets enhance fishery production through protection of spawning stocks?







human energy

Discussion

© 2021 Chevron